



Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1–2 = Poor 3–4 = Fair 5–6	= Average	7–8 :	= Above Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question?				
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?				
3. REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?		port		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?		ons?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?		nts'		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?				
	GROUP TO	TAL		
JUDGE:			TIEBREAKER*	

* Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding90 to 100 pointsVery Good80 to 89 pointsAbove Average70 to 79 points

Average50 to 69 pointsBelow Average30 to 49 pointsPoor0 to 29 points





Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1–2 = Poor 3–4 = Fair 5–6 =	= Average	7–8 =	= Above Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent demonstrate a clear understanding of involved in the question?				
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?		nt		
3. REASONING: To what extent did pa positions with sound reasoning?	articipants sup	port		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?		ons?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?		nts'		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?				
	GROUP TO	TAL		
JUDGE:			TIEBREAKER*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding90 to 100 pointsVery Good80 to 89 pointsAbove Average70 to 79 points

Average	50 to 69 points
Below Average	30 to 49 points
Poor	0 to 29 points





Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1–2 = Poor 3–4 = Fair 5–6	= Average	7–8 :	= Above Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent did participants demonstrate a clear understanding of the basic issues involved in the question?				
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?				
3. REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?		port		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?		ons?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?		nts'		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?				
	GROUP TO	TAL		
JUDGE:			TIEBREAKER*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding90 to 100 pointsVery Good80 to 89 pointsAbove Average70 to 79 points

Average50 to 69 pointsBelow Average30 to 49 pointsPoor0 to 29 points





Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1–2 = Poor 3–4 = Fair 5–6	= Average	7–8 =	= Above Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what exten demonstrate a clear understanding o involved in the question?				
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?				
3. REASONING: To what extent did p positions with sound reasoning?	articipants sup	port		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?				
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?		ints'		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?				
	GROUP TO	TAL		
JUDGE:			TIEBREAKER*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding90 to 100 pointsVery Good80 to 89 pointsAbove Average70 to 79 points

Average	50 to 69 points
Below Average	30 to 49 points
Poor	0 to 29 points





School Name - Team

Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1–2 = Poor 3–4 = Fair 5–6	= Average	7–8 =	= Above Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent demonstrate a clear understanding of involved in the question?				
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?		nt		
3. REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?		port		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?		ons?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?		nts'		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?				
	GROUP TO	TAL		
JUDGE:			TIEBREAKER*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding90 to 100 pointsVery Good80 to 89 pointsAbove Average70 to 79 points

Average50 to 69 pointsBelow Average30 to 49 pointsPoor0 to 29 points





Congressional Hearing Group Score Sheet

For each criterion listed, score the group on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the best score. Use a separate form for each group.

1–2 = Poor 3–4 = Fair 5–6 =	= Average	7–8 =	= Above Average	9–10 = Excellent
			SCORE	NOTES
1. UNDERSTANDING: To what extent demonstrate a clear understanding o involved in the question?				
2. CONSTITUTIONAL APPLICATION: To what extent did participants appropriately apply knowledge of constitutional history and principles?		nt		
3. REASONING: To what extent did participants support positions with sound reasoning?		port		
4. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE: To what extent did participants support positions with historical or contemporary evidence, examples, and/or illustrations?		ons?		
5. RESPONSIVENESS: To what extent did participants' answers address the question asked?		nts'		
6. PARTICIPATION: To what extent did <u>most</u> group members contribute to the group's presentation?				
	GROUP TO	TAL		
JUDGE:			TIEBREAKER*	

*Please designate a score of any number between 0 and 100 that reflects this group's OVERALL performance. (This score will be used only in the event of a tie.) Please use the following scale:

Outstanding90 to 100 pointsVery Good80 to 89 pointsAbove Average70 to 79 points

Average	50 to 69 points
Below Average	30 to 49 points
Poor	0 to 29 points